

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/903,911	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LUFT, SIEGFRIED	
	<b>Examiner</b> Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195	

**All Participants:**

(1) Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.

(2) Eric Replogle

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 9 May 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-42

Prior art documents discussed:

None


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend the specification and claims in order to more properly correct the 101 issue. Wherein the specification establishes the difference between types of computer readable medium as storage and communication and the claims indicate storage medium and not a tangible medium..